

CS4830: Encryption

Instructor: Elaine Shi, Weikai Lin

March 22, 2017

1 Hybrid Encryption

Definition 1. (Secure Symmetric-Key Encryption, 91.1). The encryption scheme $(\text{gen}, \text{enc}, \text{dec})$ is said to be single-message secure if \forall non uniform p.p.t. D , there exists a negligible function $\epsilon(\cdot)$ such that for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $m_0, m_1 \in \{0, 1\}^n$, D distinguishes between the the following distributions with probability at most $\epsilon(n)$:

- $\{k \leftarrow \text{gen}(1^n) : \text{enc}_k(m_0)\}_n$
- $\{k \leftarrow \text{gen}(1^n) : \text{enc}_k(m_1)\}_n$

Definition 2. (Secure Public Key Encryption, 102.2). The public key encryption scheme $(\text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$ is said to be secure if for all non uniform p.p.t. D , there exists a negligible function $\epsilon(\cdot)$ such that for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $m_0, m_1 \in \{0, 1\}^n$, D distinguishes between the the following distributions with probability at most $\epsilon(n)$:

- $\{(pk, sk) \leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^n) : (pk, \text{Enc}_{pk}(m_0))\}_n$
- $\{(pk, sk) \leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^n) : (pk, \text{Enc}_{pk}(m_1))\}_n$

Public-key encryption is typically slower than symmetric-key encryption. Therefore, when we have a long message to encrypt, it is a good idea to use the public key encryption to encrypt a symmetric key, and then use the symmetric key to encrypt the message.

Formally, let $(\text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$ denote a (single-message) secure public-key encryption, and let $(\text{gen}, \text{enc}, \text{dec})$ denote a (single-message) secure symmetric-key encryption. Consider the following public-key encryption scheme $(\text{Gen}', \text{Enc}', \text{Dec}')$:

- $\text{Gen}'(1^n)$: call $(pk, sk) \leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^n)$, and output the public key pk and secret key sk .
- $\text{Enc}'(pk, m)$: call $k \leftarrow \text{gen}(1^n)$, and output the following ciphertext: $\text{Enc}_{pk}(k), \text{enc}_k(m)$
- $\text{Dec}'(sk, ct)$: parse $ct := (c_0, c_1)$. Call $k := \text{Dec}_{sk}(c_0)$, and then call $m := \text{dec}_k(c_1)$.

Please prove that this is a secure encryption scheme. *Hint: we are sampling k randomly, but it is for all m_0, m_1 in the definition of secure public key encryption.*

Sol.

Proof. Assume for contradiction, there exists nuPPT D , polynomial p , for infinitely many $n \in \mathbf{N}$, exists $m_0, m_1 \in \{0, 1\}^n$ such that D distinguishes between the the following distributions with probability $1/p(n)$:

$$C_0 = \{(pk, sk) \leftarrow \text{Gen}'(1^n) : \text{Enc}'(pk, m_0)\},$$

$$C_1 = \{(pk, sk) \leftarrow \text{Gen}'(1^n) : \text{Enc}'(pk, m_1)\}.$$

To define hybrids, define following encryption algorithm:

$$\text{Enc}''(pk, m): \text{ call } k \leftarrow \text{gen}(1^n), \text{ output the following ciphertext: } \text{Enc}_{pk}(k), \text{enc}_k(m).$$

Then, define following hybrid ensembles.

- $H_0 = \{(pk, sk) \leftarrow \text{Gen}'(1^n) : \text{Enc}''(pk, m_0)\}$.
- $H_1 = \{(pk, sk) \leftarrow \text{Gen}'(1^n) : \text{Enc}''(pk, m_1)\}$.

By Hybrid Lemma, D must be able to distinguish between one of three pairs of distributions with probability at least $1/3p(n)$: (C_0, H_0) , (H_0, H_1) , or (H_1, C_1) . We show that all cases are impossible, and then $(\text{Gen}', \text{Enc}', \text{Dec}')$ is a single-message secure public-key encryption.

- C_0, H_0 . Rewriting C_0 and H_0 with procedures in $\text{Gen}', \text{Enc}', \text{Enc}''$, and D distinguishes between them with probability $\geq 1/3p(n)$:

$$|\Pr[(pk, sk) \leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^n); k \leftarrow \text{gen}(1^n) : D(1^n, \text{Enc}_{pk}(k), \text{enc}_k(m_0)) = 1] -$$

$$\Pr[(pk, sk) \leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^n); k \leftarrow \text{gen}(1^n) : D(1^n, \text{Enc}_{pk}(0), \text{enc}_k(m_0)) = 1]| \geq 1/3p(n).$$

Rewriting the LHS with summation (and omitting the sampling of pk, k for readability),

$$\begin{aligned} & |\Pr[D(1^n, \text{Enc}_{pk}(k), \text{enc}_k(m_0)) = 1] - \Pr[D(1^n, \text{Enc}_{pk}(0), \text{enc}_k(m_0)) = 1]| \\ &= \left| \sum_a \Pr[D(1^n, \text{Enc}_{pk}(a), \text{enc}_a(m_0)) = 1 | k = a] \Pr[k = a] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_a \Pr[D(1^n, \text{Enc}_{pk}(0), \text{enc}_a(m_0)) = 1 | k = a] \Pr[k = a] \right| \\ &= \sum_a \Pr[k = a] |\Pr[D(1^n, \text{Enc}_{pk}(a), \text{enc}_a(m_0)) = 1 | k = a] - \Pr[D(1^n, \text{Enc}_{pk}(0), \text{enc}_a(m_0)) = 1 | k = a]| \\ &= \sum_a \Pr[k = a] |d(a)|, \end{aligned}$$

where $d(a) = \Pr[D(1^n, \text{Enc}_{pk}(a), \text{enc}_a(m_0)) = 1] - \Pr[D(1^n, \text{Enc}_{pk}(0), \text{enc}_a(m_0)) = 1]$. Note that there is no k in $d(a)$. Given $(\text{Gen}, \text{Enc}, \text{Dec})$ is a secure public key encryption, there exists a negligible function ϵ such that for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, for all a , $\Pr[D(1^n, \text{Enc}_{pk}(a)) = 1] - \Pr[D(1^n, \text{Enc}_{pk}(0)) = 1] \leq \epsilon(n)$. By closure under efficient operation, $|d(a)| \leq \epsilon(n)$. Hence, $\sum_a \Pr[k = a] |d(a)| \leq \sum_a \Pr[k = a] \epsilon(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$, which contradicts D distinguishes between C_0, H_0 with probability $\geq 1/3p(n)$ for infinitely many n .

- H_0, H_1 . Rewriting H_0 and H_1 with procedures in $\text{Gen}', \text{Enc}''$, and D distinguishes between them with probability $\geq 1/3p(n)$:

$$|\Pr[(pk, sk) \leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^n); k \leftarrow \text{gen}(1^n) : D(1^n, \text{Enc}_{pk}(0), \text{enc}_k(m_0)) = 1] -$$

$$\Pr[(pk, sk) \leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^n); k \leftarrow \text{gen}(1^n) : D(1^n, \text{Enc}_{pk}(0), \text{enc}_k(m_1)) = 1]| \geq 1/3p(n).$$

Define nuPPT as $M(x) := (pk, sk) \leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^n)$, output $\text{Enc}_{pk}(0), x$. Rewriting LHS of the above equation,

$$|\Pr[k \leftarrow \text{gen}(1^n) : D(1^n, M(\text{enc}_k(m_0))) = 1] - \Pr[k \leftarrow \text{gen}(1^n) : D(1^n, M(\text{enc}_k(m_1))) = 1]|,$$

we found M is an efficient operation of $\text{enc}_k(m_0)$ or $\text{enc}_k(m_1)$. By $(\text{gen}, \text{enc}, \text{dec})$ is a secure single message encryption, and then by Closure under Efficient Operation, D cannot distinguish H_0, H_1 with probability $1/3p(n)$ for infinitely many $n \in \mathbf{N}$. It is a contradiction as desired.

- H_1, C_1 . Following the arguments of C_0, H_0 symmetrically with m_1 , we can lead to a contradiction. □

2 Constructing Secure Symmetric-Key Encryption

Definition 3. (*Pseudo-random Function, 96.2*). A family of functions $\{f_s : \{0, 1\}^{|s|} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{|s|}\}_{s \in \{0, 1\}^*}$ is pseudo-random if

- (*Easy to compute*): $f_s(x)$ can be computed by a p.p.t. algorithm that is given input s and x
- (*Pseudorandom*): $\{s \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^n : f_s\}_n \approx \{F \leftarrow \text{RF}_n : F\}_n$.

Assume $m \in \{0, 1\}^n$ and let $\{f_k\}$ be a PRF family. Let U_n be uniform distribution over $\{0, 1\}^n$.

- $\text{Gen}(1^n)$: $k' \leftarrow U_n$. Let $k = k'_l || 0^{n-l}$.
- $\text{Enc}_k(m)$: Pick $r \leftarrow U_n$. Output $(r, m \oplus f_k(r))$
- $\text{Dec}_k((r, c))$: Output $c \oplus f_k(r)$

Is it a single-message secure encryption if (a) $l = 100$, (b) $l = \log n$, (c) $l = n/2$, (d) $l = n - 1$? Is it a multi-message secure encryption if (a) $l = 100$, (b) $l = \log n$, (c) $l = n/2$, (d) $l = n - 1$?